

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

The Court ordered Plaintiff to pay the filing fee after finding he was not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this matter. (Doc. 7.) The Court warned him that if he failed to pay the filing fee as ordered, that this would result in dismissal of this action. (*Id.* at 2.) More than 30 days have passed, and Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee. Without such payment, the action cannot proceed. *See Saddozai v. Davis*, 35 F.4th 705, 709 (9th Cir. 2022). Thus, the Court concludes that dismissal of this action is appropriate.

In doing so, the Court has considered the factors outlined by the Ninth Circuit for terminating sanctions, including: “(1) the public’s interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court’s need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions.” *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986). The public’s interest in expeditiously resolving this litigation and the Court’s interest in managing the docket weigh in favor of dismissal. *See Yourish v.*

1 *Cal. Amplifier*, 191 F.3d 983, 990 (9th Cir. 1999) (“The public’s interest in expeditious resolution of
2 litigation always favors dismissal”); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1261 (9th Cir. 1992) (district
3 courts have inherent interest in managing their dockets without being subject to noncompliant
4 litigants). Because Plaintiff delayed the action though his failure to obey the Court’s order to pay the
5 filing fee, the third factor also supports dismissal. Finally, the Court’s warning to Plaintiff that the
6 matter could be dismissed satisfies the requirement of considering alternative sanctions. *Ferdik*, 963
7 F.2d at 1262. Consequently, the *Henderson* factors weigh in favor of dismissal for Plaintiff’s failure to
8 pay the filing fee as ordered. *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 133 n.2 (9th Cir. 1987)
9 (explaining that although “the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits … weighs
10 against dismissal, it is not sufficient to outweigh the other four factors”). Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

11 1. Plaintiff’s complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.
12 2. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: May 23, 2025


16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28